Surgical Lip Repositioning Procedure to Correct Excessive Gingival Display: A Case Report of Identical Twins
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Introduction: Excessive gingival display (EGD) is an esthetic concern for patients with a gummy smile. With the increase in the esthetic expectations of patients, EGD could have an adverse emotional and psychosocial impact. The extent and etiology of gingival display dictate which treatment is indicated. For patients with EGD and a short or hypermobile upper lip, surgical lip repositioning (LRP) is a viable treatment alternative to other more invasive procedures such as orthognathic surgery. The procedure was first introduced in 1973 and resulted in reduced morbidity compared with orthognathic surgery while resulting in predictable improvement. LRP reduces gingival display by restricting the pull of elevator lip muscles and shortening the vestibule, thereby decreasing the range of motion of the lips and decreasing the amount of gingival display.

Case Presentation: The current report evaluates the esthetic results of LRP surgery on identical 27-year-old twin sisters exhibiting severe vertical maxillary excess (VME) and hypermobile upper lip. Both patients were given the option of orthognathic surgery to correct their VME or LRP to reduce their gummy smile and improve esthetics. Both elected LRP to address their gummy smile and avoid higher morbidity and costs associated with orthognathic surgery.

Conclusion: Both patients achieved acceptable esthetic results that were stable at 12 and 24 months. Clin Adv Periodontics 2018;8:48–53.
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Background

Excessive gingival display (EGD) is an esthetic predicament characterized by excessive exposure of maxillary gingiva during smiling. EGD is often regarded as an unesthetic smile due to the gummy smile appearance that can negatively impact the emotional and psychologic status of patients. Patients with EGD often lack self-confidence, have a restrained smile, or place their hand in front of their mouth during smiling. The prevalence of EGD has been reported to be 14% in females and 7% in males. Some of the possible etiologies associated with EGD include altered passive eruption, vertical maxillary excess (VME), gingival enlargement, and a short or hypermobile upper lip. Depending on the amount of gingival display, treatment could include esthetic crown lengthening, orthodontic therapy, injection of botulinum toxin type A, orthognathic surgery, or surgical lip repositioning (LRP). For patients with EGD and a short or hypermobile upper lip, surgical LRP appears to be a reasonable alternative treatment modality. Although orthognathic surgery is recommended for patients with VME of ≥8 mm, surgical LRP is an effective treatment alternative with considerably less morbidity. The procedure reduces gingival display by restricting the action of the elevator lip muscles and shortening the vestibule, resulting in favorable esthetic outcomes. Although the literature cites many different case reports, the current report allows a unique opportunity to evaluate the esthetic results of LRP surgery in identical twin sisters exhibiting severe VME.

Clinical Presentation

Healthy 27-year-old identical twin females presented to the Graduate Periodontics Clinic at the University of
Detroit Mercy, Detroit, MI for evaluation of gummy smile within a year of each other (2013–2014). Upon clinical examination, the clinical crown lengths for maxillary anterior teeth were within the normal ratio of 80%, but the patients exhibited EGD with incompetent lip closure (Figs. 1 and 2). The patients had severe VME with a hypermobile short upper lip (Fig. 3). They exhibited 11 mm of gingival display during dynamic smile with 9 mm of keratinized gingiva (Figs. 4 and 5). Treatment options were discussed, and both consented to surgical LRP.

Case Management
The facial and dental midlines were marked using a surgical marker at three locations: nose, philtrum, and interdental papilla between the central incisors (Fig. 6). This is an important step to be performed prior to local anesthesia as these points provide correct realignment of the facial midline for bilateral symmetry post-surgically. Under local anesthesia, incisions were outlined using a surgical marker on the alveolar mucosa extending from the mucogingival junction (MGJ) to 12 mm apically and expanding to the distal of the second premolars bilaterally (Fig. 7). Then, a partial-thickness incision was made in the previously marked areas, exposing the underlying connective tissue (CT) (Figs. 8 and 9). The apical mucosa was approximated to reduce the vestibular depth and sutured to the MGJ using interrupted 6-0 sutures. To ensure bilateral symmetry, the sutures were started at the midline, followed by the distal aspects of the premolars, and finally closing the incisions primarily (Fig. 10). The patients received non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for 7 days and an antimicrobial rinse. Post-surgical instructions were given with emphasis on minimizing lip movement for at least 4 weeks. The patients were followed up at 1, 2, and 4 weeks and 6, 12, and 24 months.

Clinical Outcomes
At 1 and 2 weeks both patients experienced significant bilateral swelling and ecchymosis in the perioral and periorbital areas (Fig. 11). All sutures were removed at the 4-week follow-up, and the swelling and bruising were completely
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resolved (Fig. 12). The patients were satisfied with the improvement and reduction in their gummy smiles. The results remained satisfactory at 12 and 24 months for both patients (Figs. 13 and 14).

Discussion
Correct analysis of EGD is crucial before making treatment recommendations. Most patients have an average lip length of $\approx 22$ mm from the subnasale to the most inferior portion of the upper lip. When the patient has EGD, this distance is smaller and is accompanied by incompetent lip closure or a hypermobile upper lip, resulting in a gummy smile. Different treatment modalities are used to reduce EGD, with orthognathic surgery being the main option for correction of VME. Orthognathic surgery is associated with high morbidity and increased cost since it is often performed in a hospital setting. Therefore, LRP
is a less invasive alternative treatment option to improve esthetics for patients with VME or hypermobile upper lip. It reduces the labial retraction of the elevator smile muscle and minimizes gingival display.\textsuperscript{11} However, LRP is associated with minor relapses at 6 months and potential complete relapse at 12 months post-surgery.\textsuperscript{12} To minimize potential relapse from contraction of the underlying CT, leaving non-resorbable monofilament sutures at the midline and corners of the mouth for 4 weeks is important. Further, post-surgical instructions should include specific emphasis on minimizing lip movement for at least 4 weeks. Other post-surgical findings include bruising, discomfort, swelling, and mucocele formation.\textsuperscript{13} These typical complications are generally manageable with medications and normal post-surgical care. In the current report, both patients exhibited significant swelling despite their non-contributory medical history. This could be attributed to the excision of mucosa and close proximity to the elevator muscles. The future use of corticosteroids should reduce the swelling and morbidity.

The results of the current report showed slight differences in the amount of gingival display for patients 1 and 2, with 2 mm less gingival display for patient 1. It is noteworthy to highlight that patient 2 had more EGD initially compared with patient 1, hence, the results seem to be proportional (Figs. 3 and 13).

Despite these differences, both patients were satisfied with the reduction in their gummy smiles and improvement in their overall esthetics (Figs. 13 and 14). The results were stable with minimal relapse at 12 and 24 months.
Summary

Why are these cases new information?

- A less invasive procedure is presented as an alternative to orthognathic surgery.
- Stable results are shown with significant improvement after 2-year follow-up.
- There was satisfactory improvement in reducing gummy smile for patients with Class III VME.

What are the keys to successful management of these cases?

- It is important to determine etiology for gummy smile. The following factors should be evaluated:
  - Patient has normal clinical crown length and width.
  - Patient has hypermobile upper lip with excessive gingival display.
  - Patient refuses orthognathic surgery.
  - Correct surgical and suturing techniques are used to maintain symmetry.

What are the primary limitations to success in these cases?

- A limited amount of data is available from prospective clinical trials documenting the short- and long-term effectiveness of surgical LRP.
- Procedure does not eliminate VME.
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